

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

JUDITH L. WINDLE

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

§
§
§
§
§

NO. SA-06-CA-0545-RF

ORDER AND ADVISORY

It is ORDERED that the Plaintiff confer with the Defendant as required by Fed. R. Civ. P 26(f) and Local Court Rule CV-16(c) to submit a scheduling order for the Court's consideration by November 13, 2006. Failure to do so may result in the Court's entering its own scheduling order. **The parties are directed to entitle their agreed scheduling recommendations "SCHEDULING ORDER." The word "Proposed" should not be placed in the title.**

At the request of the Bar, the San Antonio District Judges have implemented a procedure whereby a Magistrate Judge is assigned to each civil case at the time it is filed. The assignments are made randomly and are evenly divided among the three San Antonio Magistrate Judges. If a pretrial matter is referred by the District Judge, it will be handled by the Magistrate Judge to whom the case was assigned. Similarly, if the parties consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, the case will be placed on the docket of the assigned Magistrate Judge for all future proceedings, including entry of final judgment. 28 USC § 636(c). The Magistrate Judge assigned to this case is the Honorable Pamela Mathy.

So that this Court may more efficiently manage its docket, the parties are hereby **ORDERED** to notify the Court whether or not they consent, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. Therefore, within thirty (30) days of the date of the filing of this Order, each party shall sign the appropriate election form to be filed with the Clerk's Office (see attached).

SIGNED this 12th day of September, 2006.



ROYAL FURGESON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

